

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/521,561		SUEOKA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Frank M. Lawrence		1797	

All Participants:

(1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(2) Ali Imam.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 rejection of claim 4

Claims discussed:

4-7

Prior art documents discussed:

Kuma et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30 pm e.t.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claim 4 remained anticipated by the Kuma et al. patent. Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to put the application in condition for allowance, including canceling claim 4 and correcting the dependencies of claims 5-7..